

# BFAS school

## Practical session 2

Thierry Denœux

1st October 2015

### 1 Exercises

- (a) In the evidential  $K$ -NN rule, the mass function induced by neighbor  $i$  is

$$\begin{aligned}m_i(\{\omega_k\}) &= s_{ik}\varphi(d_i), \quad k = 1, \dots, c \\m_i(\Omega) &= 1 - \varphi(d_i),\end{aligned}$$

where  $s_{ik} = 1$  if neighbor  $i$  belongs to class  $k$  and  $s_{ik} = 0$  otherwise. Compute the contour function  $pl(\omega)$  after combining the mass functions from the  $K$  nearest neighbors.

- (b) Assume that  $\varphi(d_i) = \exp(-\gamma d_i)$ . Propose a method for optimizing parameter  $\gamma$ .
- Let us assume that the complete data  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a realization from an i.i.d. sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from  $\mathcal{B}(\theta)$  with  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . We only have partial information about the  $x_i$ 's in the form:  $pl_1, \dots, pl_n$ , where  $pl_i(x)$  is the plausibility that  $X_i = x$ ,  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ .
  - Express the cognitive independence assumption.
  - Write the expression of the observed-data likelihood.
  - Write the E<sup>2</sup>M algorithm for this problem.

### 2 Solutions

- (a) The contour function  $pl_i$  corresponding to  $m_i$  is

$$\begin{aligned}pl_j(\omega_k) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_{ik} = 1, \\ 1 - \alpha_j & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= (1 - \alpha_j)^{1-s_{jk}}\end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, c$ . The combined contour function is thus

$$pl(\omega_k) \propto \prod_{i \in N_K} (1 - \varphi(d_i))^{1 - s_{jk}},$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, c$ .

- (b) Let  $pl_{-i}$  be the contour function about the class of object  $i$ , determined from the other objects on the dataset. We should have  $pl_{-i}(\omega_k)$  close to 1 if  $s_{ik} = 1$  and  $pl_{-i}(\omega_k)$  close to 0 otherwise. We can find  $\gamma$  minimizing the following cost function

$$E(\gamma) = \sum_i \sum_k (pl_{-i}(\omega_k) - s_{ik})^2.$$

2. (a) The cognitive assumption is here

$$pl(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n pl(x_i)$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

- (b) Under the cognitive independence assumption,

$$\log L(\theta; pl_1, \dots, pl_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log [(1 - \theta)pl_i(0) + \theta pl_i(1)].$$

- (c) The complete data log-likelihood is

$$\log L(\theta, \mathbf{x}) = n \log(1 - \theta) + \log \left( \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

**E-step:** compute

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{(q)}) = n \log(1 - \theta) + \log \left( \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{(q)}, \text{ with}$$

$$\xi_i^{(q)} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{(q)}} [X_i | pl_i] = \frac{\theta^{(q)} pl_i(1)}{(1 - \theta^{(q)}) pl_i(0) + \theta^{(q)} pl_i(1)}.$$

**M-step:**

$$\theta^{(q+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^{(q)}.$$